## Figure 9-2 TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANTS

WARRANT 4 - School Areas  WARRANT 5 - Progressive Movement				Not Applicable See School Protection Warrants Sheet							
						Ü			SFIED		ES NO
MINIMUM REQUIREN		DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIGN				۱L			FULFILLED		
> 300 m		N	m,	S	m,	E	m	, W		_m.	YES NO
ON ONE WAY ISOLATE SIGNALS ARE SO FAR										ENT	
ON 2-WAY STREETS W SPEED CONTROL PRO	ACENT SIGNALS DO NOT PROVIDE NECESSARY PLATOONING AND GNALS COULD CONSTITUTE A PROGRESSIVE SIGNAL SYSTEM										
WARRANT 6 - Acci	dent Ex <sub>l</sub>	perienc	e				;	SATI	SFIED	Υ	ES NO
REQUIREMENTS	WARRANT						1	FULFILLED			
ONE WARRANT SATISFIED 80%		WARRANT 1 - MINIMUM VEHICULAR VOLUME									
		OR WARRANT 2 - INTERRUPTION OF CONTINUOUS TRAFFIC								YES NO	
SIGNAL WILL NOT SER	SRUPT PROGRESSIVE TRAFFIC FLOW										
ADEQUATE TRIAL OF LESS RESTRICTIVE REMEDIES HAS FAILED TO REDUCE ACCIDENT FREQUE						REQUENC	Y				
ACC. WITHIN A 12 MON	TH PERIO	D SUSCEI	PTIBLE O	F CORR.	& INVOL	VING II	JURY OR	• \$5	500 DAMAG	BE	
MINIMUM REQUIREM	NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS									]	
5 OR MORE											
WARRANT 7 - System MINIMUM VOLUME REQUIREMENT	ems Wa		ENTERING	G VOLUM	1ES - ALL	APPRO		SATI	SFIED	<b>Y</b>	ES NO FULFILLED
1000 VEH/HR	<u> </u>	DURING TYPICAL WEEKDAY PEAK HOUR							VEH/HR 	<u> </u>	
		OR DURING EACH OF ANY 5 HRS. OF A SAT. AND/OR SUNVEH/HR								YES NO	
CHARA	CS OF MAJOR ROUTES MA					MAJOR	ST.	MINOR	ST.		
HWY. SYSTEM SERVING	PAL NETWORK FOR THROUGH TRAFFIC										
RURAL OF SUBURBAN H	WY OUTSIE	DE OF, EN	TERING,	OR TRAV	/ERSING	A CITY					
APPEARS AS MAJOR ROL	JTE ONAN	OFFICIAL	. PLAN								
ANY	MAJOR RC	UTE CHA	RACTERI	ISTICS M	IET, BOTI	STRE	ETS	_		_	

The satisfaction of a warrant is not necessarily justification for a signal. Delay, congestion, confusion or other evidence of the need for right-of-way assignment must be shown.